



Dialogic Discourse Analysis of Steve Chang's "A Temporary Arrangement": A Bakhtinian Perspective

Roseline Jesudas^{1*}, Zakiuddin Mohammed²

¹Professor, Department of Languages and Translation, College of Humanities and Social Sciences, Northern Border University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

²Assistant Professor, Department of Languages and Translation, College of Humanities and Social Sciences, Northern Border University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract: Using Mikhail Bakhtin's ideas on dialogues, this study analyzes Steve Chang's short story, "A Temporary Arrangement," to explore character interactions and sociocultural meanings. The research uses qualitative textual analysis, concentrating on Bakhtin's ideas of diverse voices, dialogue as a representation of human experiences, and conflicting viewpoints. The aim of this study is to explain how different perspectives in the story mirror larger societal and emotional contexts. Historical and cultural tensions influence the characters' relationships, demonstrating how their conversations navigate power dynamics and identity challenges. This analysis emphasizes the crucial role of conversation in promoting comprehension and the complex links between personal stories and societal impacts.

Keywords: Mikhail Bakhtin's Ideas, Human Experiences, Power Dynamics and Identity.

Review Paper

*Corresponding Author:

Roseline Jesudas

Professor, Department of Languages and Translation, College of Humanities and Social Sciences, Northern Border University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Article History:

| Submit: 05.10.2024 |

| Accepted: 04.11.2024 |

| Published: 06.11.2024 |

How to Cite this Paper: Roseline Jesudas & Zakiuddin Mohammed (2024). Dialogic Discourse Analysis of Steve Chang's "A Temporary Arrangement": A Bakhtinian Perspective. *Middle East Res J Linguist Lit*, 4(5): 82-92.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

The exploration of identity and interpersonal relationships has become crucial in contemporary literature due to the influence of globalization and migration. Steve Chang's short story, "A Temporary Arrangement," published in 2023, vividly portrays these themes by exploring human connections amidst cultural changes. Chang, a Taiwanese writer and educator from San Gabriel Valley, California, combines his background as a fiction editor and former organizer of indie music events in South Korea to enhance his storytelling.

This research paper presents a dialogic discourse analysis of "A Temporary Arrangement," viewed through a Bakhtinian lens, emphasizing the interplay of voices and dialogues that characterize Chang's narrative. The story focuses on George, a teacher dealing with fleeting relationships, and his intricate connections with Lynn, a waitress and aspiring actress, exploring the challenges faced by immigrants balancing dual cultural identities. The story skillfully portrays the

mix of hope and despair in temporary relationships, emphasizing the emotional impact of fleeting connections.

The story weaves together George's reflections and interactions to depict the immigrant experience, showcasing moments of joy, uncertainty, and fleeting connections. The hawk-like figure in George's life symbolizes escapism and prompts deeper reflection on the illusion of permanence individuals seek during times of change. George's efforts to support Lynn as she prepares to depart for a new opportunity in New York lead to an emotional journey characterized by vulnerability, conflict, and eventual acceptance.

Employing Mikhail Bakhtin's concepts of dialogism, this analysis seeks to illuminate the multifaceted nature of identity formation, communication, and the nuanced dynamics of relationality within Chang's narrative. We uncover how the story illustrates the ways in which individuals construct meaning in their interactions and how

temporary arrangements—whether in love, friendship, or mentorship—can lead to significant personal transformations through this framework.

Mikhail Bakhtin, a prominent Russian philosopher known for his insights into language and literature, offers a valuable theoretical framework. We use this framework to analyze Steve Chang's short story, "A Temporary Arrangement," using the lens of dialogic discourse analysis. His contributions are particularly relevant to sociocultural educational research and dialogic pedagogy, as noted by various scholars (Mercer, 2000; Matusov, 2009; Mortimer & Scott, 2003; Nystrand, 1997; Reznitskaya, 2012; Reznitskaya & Wilkinson, 2017; Wells, 1999).

Although some researchers see dialogism mainly as a linguistic or metalinguistic idea, a more nuanced perspective uncovers it as a philosophical framework that addresses a range of philosophical issues. For instance, some analysts, like Halquist (1990), regard dialogism as an extension of Kant's epistemological inquiries, while others, such as Graham Peachey (2007, p. 7), ascribe different philosophical meanings to it. The Bakhtin's analytical approach, known as dialogic discourse analysis (DDA), as a coherent methodology for studying literature in both educational and research contexts (cf. Skaftun, 2009:2010).

Essentially, dialogism emerges as a philosophical response to the crises faced by modern philosophy. The twentieth century brought significant attention to the subject-centered philosophy rooted in Descartes, which underpins the modern worldview. Thinkers such as Nietzsche, Husserl, and Heidegger, as well as later constructivists and postmodernists, posed critical questions that traditional subject matter philosophy struggled to address (D'Entreves and Benhabib, 1997). Mikhail Bakhtin, who found himself grappling with similar issues, also challenged this subject-centered view, ultimately turning to language philosophy to seek solutions to these philosophical dilemmas. This shared concern with his contemporaries in the 20th century highlights a significant common ground in their work.

Linguists have frequently embraced Bakhtin's philosophical insights, acknowledging his contributions to their field and labeling him a prominent linguist. However, one could argue that Bakhtin utilizes metalinguistics to delve into and address profound philosophical questions. He navigates the interplay between philosophy and language, leading him to establish the concept of dialogism. This discussion aims to clarify dialogism as a framework bridging philosophy and linguistics.

Perspectives of Dialogism

Dialogism is a term used to describe Mikhail Bakhtin's ideas. Although Bakhtin benefited from this

concept, he did not specifically label his work under this term; later scholars applied this title to his writings and the well-known conference related to his theories. Dialogism serves as a useful lens for examining Bakhtin's perspectives. However, like many Western concepts, dialogism poses challenges in translation. Persian literature renders it as the logic of oral communication, equating it with conversation (Ahmadi, 1991: 93-121). While this interpretation appears necessary, it is important to recognize that dialogism should not be confused with mere conversation or dialogue. Its non-oral implications warrant careful consideration, as reducing it solely to spoken exchanges can lead to misunderstandings. Similarly, in English literary studies, insufficient recognition of dialogism's broader meanings has resulted in misinterpretations. This confusion is frequently caused by an excessive focus on conversational aspects derived from dialogism. Scholars like Morson and Emerson have cautioned against equating dialogism with conversational exchanges, particularly in relation to Buber's concept of the I/you dynamic or the Hegelian dialectic (Morson and Emerson, 1990: 40). The tendency to associate dialogism too closely with conversation or discourse has led some researchers to apply Hubermasian insights to Bakhtin's works (Niesen, 2002).

This framework highlights the significance of dialogue and interaction, fostering a deeper grasp of linguistic discourse analysis. Bakhtin's concept of heteroglossia, which involves multiple voices and perspectives in language, motivates the researchers to have critical discussions about the characters and narratives in Chang's story. Through this exploration, this study discovers the sociocultural contexts and meanings that influence the text.

A Theoretical Framework for Analyzing Steve Chang's "A Temporary Arrangement" through Dialogic Discourse Analysis

This theoretical framework utilizes Mikhail Bakhtin's concept of dialogue to analyze Steve Chang's short story, "A Temporary Arrangement." Bakhtin's dialogic discourse analysis explores three essential aspects of dialogue, revealing insights into human interactions, cultural exchanges, and the story's overarching themes.

1. Dialogue as a Model of Human Experience

In the first dimension, dialogue signifies a metaphorical model for comprehending the complexities of human experience, encompassing cultural interactions, historical contexts, and interpersonal relationships. Bakhtin's exploration of centripetal and centrifugal forces illustrates the tension between the world's inherent openness—reflected in the dialogic nature of interactions—and the controlling ideologies that strive for monologic authority (Bakhtin, 1981, p. 270ff.). In "A Temporary Arrangement," these opposing forces manifest within the interactions between

characters, illustrating how personal histories and cultural backgrounds influence their negotiations of power, identity, and understanding. Analyzing the story through the dialogic lens reveals how the balance between centripetal and centrifugal forces shapes characters' relationships and the overall narrative.

2. Language as Dialogically Constructed

The second dimension of dialogue describes language as a continuum of communicative exchanges. Bakhtin posits that every utterance is embedded in a web of previous meanings and voices, denoting that language is not merely a tool for communication but a tapestry of influences (Bakhtin, 1986, pp. 92-93). Chang's characters in "A Temporary Arrangement" engage in dialogue rich in historical, social, and emotional nuances. The dialogue they share reflects their individual contexts while also invoking the voices of others—both past and present. Exploring how characters infuse their words with 'alien voices' and how these expressions influence their relationships through the complexities of understanding and miscommunication is crucial to this dynamic.

3. The Conflict of Voices

The third dimension emphasizes the inherent conflict and tension present within dialogic exchanges, highlighting the intentionality behind each utterance. Bakhtin asserts that every exchange is not isolated but interwoven within a broader discursive environment, reflecting a struggle between dialogic openness and monologic closure (Bakhtin, 1986, p. 60f.). In "A Temporary Arrangement," the characters' dialogues often oscillate between collaboration and dissent, revealing deeper ideological and emotional tensions. Analyzing characters through this framework allows for an exploration of their motivations and the complexities of their emotional landscapes. The tension between characters' desires and the limitations of their circumstances becomes evident in their dialogic interactions, illustrating Bakhtin's view that each utterance reflects broader discursive conflicts.

The Author-Hero Relationship and Its Dialogizing Background

Significantly, the relationship between the author and characters—or, more broadly, between speakers and the individuals they reference—serves as a crucial element in understanding Bakhtin's dialogic framework. The author creates a narrative context that shapes and reinterprets each character's speech, influencing the perception of their perspectives (Bakhtin, 1981, p. 340). Chang's narrative voice in "A Temporary Arrangement" influences character interactions, frequently altering the understanding of their words through a dialogic backdrop. This relationship fosters a dynamic range of potential interpretations, wherein characters navigate friendly or hostile, supportive or resistant interactions.

Bakhtin's discussions on Dostoevsky highlight the significance of this dialogic background in creating an "essential surplus of meaning" (Bakhtin, 1984, p. 73). By comparing Tolstoy's monologization with Dostoevsky's polyphonic style, he suggests that a narrative rich in multiple voices can more effectively portray the intricacies of human existence. Similarly, in Chang's work, the interaction of diverse character viewpoints and voices enriches the exploration of themes like identity, belonging, and the fleeting essence of relationships. Through a Bakhtinian lens, "A Temporary Arrangement" emerges as a narrative that not only explores the characters' individual dialogues but also reveals the layered complexities and contradictions within their interactions and the wider social context.

In conclusion, employing Bakhtin's dialogic discourse analysis provides a comprehensive framework for examining "A Temporary Arrangement." By investigating the multifaceted aspects of dialogue, language, conflict, and the author-character relationship, we can gain a deeper insight into the story's thematic richness and the intricate dynamics of human connection that it embodies. This analysis offers an in-depth exploration of the text, uncovering its nuanced themes and underlying messages.

Approaching language through a Bakhtinian lens involves understanding it as a lively and integral part of societal discourse. According to Bakhtin (1999), exploring this area of study necessitates a metalinguistic awareness, emphasizing that the core elements of discourse are rooted in the dialogic interactions that shape language's existence. According to this perspective, this study actualizes logical and concrete-semantic relationships within a field of existence, enabling us to perceive them as concrete statements. This necessitates placing them in a specific socio-historical and cultural context with its own thematic focus, to which we respond interactively. As a result, this study concentrates on discourse, a fundamental aspect of dialogic communication, with a particular emphasis on the linguistic elements of the short story as a literary genre. Bakhtin argues that this domain effectively communicates the subtle changes in socio-verbal orientation.

In their 2012 research, Abbas Manouchehri and Gitti Pour Zaki examine the possibility of bridging the historical divide between philosophy and methodology through the dialogistic approach of Mikhail Bakhtin. They emphasize how Bakhtin's concepts support the fusion of philosophical principles with methodological practices, resulting in a theoretical framework that reconciles seemingly conflicting elements. Their work provides new interpretations of Bakhtin's theories, particularly regarding the notions of "self" and "other," which can enhance discussions on political and social interactions, including the complex dynamics between the East and West. This novel viewpoint paves the way

for deeper engagement with multifaceted ideological exchanges.

In 2012, Adriana Dias, Marcia Kraemer, and Maria Alba Perfeito conducted a study on the dynamics of verbal interaction in contemporary narratives. They examined the roles of direct and indirect discourse, their variations, and their processing in narratives. The study, grounded in applied linguistics and the Bakhtin Circle's theoretical insights, emphasized the importance of considering both the manifestation of discourse and its reception. They proposed that these factors influence the text producer's evaluative stance in a centripetal or centrifugal manner. The study highlighted the interplay between discourse conveying messages and discourse acting as a medium of transmission. The research provides valuable insights into the complexities of verbal interaction and the multifaceted nature of dialogue in linguistic contexts.

In 2020, Sher Haidar conducted a comprehensive investigation into the application of Mikhail Bakhtin's theoretical framework to the analysis of literary narratives and texts. The study specifically explores Bakhtin's concepts of dialogism, heteroglossia, and carnivalization, emphasizing their potential to illuminate the intricate complexities inherent in literature. By systematically analyzing a range of literary works, Haidar demonstrates how the Bakhtinian framework can reveal hidden meanings and deepen our understanding of literary texts. The discussion also addresses the broader implications of this framework for literary criticism, interpretation, and analysis. Ultimately, the paper concludes by affirmatively assessing the Bakhtinian framework's efficacy as a valuable tool for literary interpretation, underscoring its significance in the field of literary studies.

In 2024, Wang and Hemchua explore Bakhtin's concept of dialogism, focusing on the interplay between the self and others as foundational elements. Their study offers a comprehensive analysis of Lin Yutang's translation practices, revealing a pronounced dialogic consciousness that reflects his acute awareness of various stakeholders, including the author, reader, sponsor, and the arts. The authors argue that Lin's commitment to dialogue serves as a crucial element in the effective transmission of Chinese culture to Western audiences. Furthermore, the paper delves into the historical and cultural roots of Lin's dialogic perspective, examining his views on the interplay between Chinese and Western cultures. Through this investigation, the authors aim to demonstrate the relevance of Bakhtin's dialogism within translation theory while also highlighting the inherently dialogic nature of Lin's translation philosophy. This research aspires to offer valuable insights for the contemporary dissemination of Chinese literature and classics, addressing the ongoing challenges of cross-cultural communication.

Research Gap

This study seeks to address a research gap by analyzing Bakhtin's ideas in the modern literary work "A Temporary Arrangement." This study, unlike earlier research focused on literary components, investigates character relationships and societal significances within a particular tale. This research seeks to elucidate how character interactions mirror overarching social pressures and how these tensions influence personal connections. This study employs qualitative textual analysis from Bakhtin's viewpoint, emphasizing the sociocultural ramifications of character interactions inside a singular narrative. This research examines how character dialogues confront power imbalances and personal identities in partnerships, a facet often overlooked in previous studies. The current research demonstrates how individual narratives can exert broader social influences, a relationship that contemporary literature has not adequately addressed. This analysis addresses a distinct need by analyzing a contemporary literary work comprehensively, investigating conversation, societal implications, and the influence of historical context. This analysis intends to enhance comprehension of the nexus between personal experiences and overarching societal challenges.

Significance of the Study

The significance of this research lies in its innovative approach to exploring Bakhtin's theories through a specific literary lens, Steve Chang's short story "A Temporary Arrangement." By delving into character interactions and sociocultural implications within this short story, the study not only fills a gap in existing literature but also sheds light on the intricate ways in which personal relationships mirror larger societal tensions. This research not only enhances our comprehension of Bakhtinian analysis but also deepens our understanding of how dialogues in literature can encapsulate themes of power, identity, and lived experiences. By connecting the personal narratives in the story with broader societal implications, this study offers a nuanced examination that enriches the discourse on Bakhtin's dialogism and expands its relevance in literary analysis.

Objectives

The following research objectives outlined for the study on Steve Chang's short story "A Temporary Arrangement" play a crucial role in guiding and shaping the investigation.

- Examine the dialogues between characters in "A Temporary Arrangement" to reveal the diverse voices and conflicting viewpoints that reflect larger societal and emotional contexts.
- Investigate how the characters' conversations illuminate the historical and cultural tensions influencing their relationships and interactions.
- Assess how character dialogues navigate power imbalances and identity challenges in personal relationships within the narrative.

- Employ Bakhtinian principles to provide deeper insights into modern storytelling, emphasizing the links between individual experiences and broader cultural contexts.
- Address the existing research gap by applying Bakhtin's theories to the analysis of contemporary literature, specifically focusing on character interactions and societal implications.

Research Questions

The following research questions aim to elucidate the multifaceted relationships between dialogues in literature and the societal contexts that inform them, ultimately enhancing the understanding of Bakhtin's dialogism as it pertains to modern short stories.

1. How do character interactions in "A Temporary Arrangement" reflect larger societal tensions and emotional contexts?
2. In what ways do historical and cultural factors shape the dialogues between characters?
3. How do power dynamics and identity challenges manifest in the conversations among characters, and what impact do they have on personal relationships?
4. What role does dialogue play in promoting understanding and connecting personal narratives to broader societal challenges within the story?
5. How can Bakhtinian principles enrich our understanding of character interactions and sociocultural implications in contemporary literary works?

Research Method

Methods used by researchers help gather new information or improve understanding of a topic. Textual analysis is common in literary and cultural studies, enabling scholars to interpret texts within their cultural, social, and historical contexts. This helps researchers gain a more profound insight into the text's importance and influence on society. By examining language, structure, and themes, scholars can uncover hidden meanings and perspectives that contribute to a deeper understanding of the text. Delving into character interactions and sociocultural implications within this short story.

Textual analysis is a vital qualitative research method, especially within cultural studies, as it examines the ideological and cultural foundations embedded in texts (McKee 73). This approach employs interpretative techniques to reveal the intricacies of originality, creativity, and historical context within various cultures. Smith highlights its interdisciplinary nature, showcasing its applicability in communication, social sciences, and the humanities through diverse methodologies such as content analysis, semiotics, interactional analysis, and rhetorical criticism. The Greek myth of Hermes, the messenger god who conveyed divine messages to

humanity, serves as the origin of textual analysis and signifies its foundational role in interpretation (Hindle 601). McKee notes that this method enables researchers to understand how individuals from different cultures perceive their identities and environments, making it an essential tool for qualitative research (McKee 1). This study employs textual analysis to explore Bakhtin's theories in the context of Steve Chang's short story "A Temporary Arrangement."

Data Collection

Instrument:

This study employs a structured coding framework as the primary data collection instrument to explore Bakhtin's theories in Steve Chang's short story "A Temporary Arrangement." The framework will encompass categories focused on character interactions, sociocultural meanings, power dynamics, and dialogic discourse, ensuring alignment with the research objectives. Additionally, we will systematically organize and categorize relevant textual excerpts, character dialogues, and key themes using a data collection sheet or software tool to facilitate comprehensive data analysis.

Procedure

1. Identify and select portions of "A Temporary Arrangement" that clearly illustrate character interactions, sociocultural meanings, power dynamics, and elements of dialogic discourse.
2. Create a detailed coding framework that reflects the research objectives. This framework will include distinct categories for character interactions, sociocultural implications, power dynamics, and dialogic discourse. We will establish clear definitions for each category to ensure consistency and accuracy in data interpretation.
3. Systematically implement the developed coding framework across the selected textual excerpts. At this stage, the study identified instances of character interactions, sociocultural influences, power dynamics, and dialogic features, noting relevant quotes and dialogues to capture emerging patterns within the text.
4. Analyze the coded data to extract insights regarding the manifestation of Bakhtin's theories within character interactions, societal contexts, power dynamics, and dialogic discourse in the short story. Investigate the connections between individual experiences and broader cultural narratives.

Analysis: Character Interactions, Societal Tensions and Emotional Contexts

Within Steve Chang's "A Temporary Arrangement," character interactions serve as mirrors reflecting broader societal tensions and emotional landscapes, encapsulating themes of impermanence,

individuality, isolation, and the eternal quest for connection. The following analysis explores the specific instances that vividly illustrate these profound themes.

1. Impermanence and Loyalty in Relationships

The theme of impermanence is evident in George's reflections about his job and his relationship with Lynn. "They would all be moving on soon, teachers and students alike. What we had was a temporary arrangement, reflecting the transient nature of both his position as a teacher and his personal connections. This underscores the prevalent societal pattern of instability in contemporary relationships, where people frequently perceive commitments as fleeting.

Additionally, George's desperation to keep Lynn in his life becomes clearer through his interactions with her. He expresses this when he says, "I could take care of her. I would work harder and become a real teacher, and she could try, really try, to do acting. We could still do what we wanted together if she stayed." This desire for permanence underscores the emotional struggle of wanting a stable connection in a world defined by change.

2. Isolation and Emotional Demands

George amplifies his loneliness and mental health struggles through his dialogues with his students. His frustration during class, particularly with Kevin, resonates with a larger societal issue of addressing mental health and the education system's inadequacies. When Kevin asks, "Why don't you care about us?" it speaks not only to George's personal withdrawal after Lynn's departure but also reflects a broader conversation about the role educators play in students' lives and the emotional needs of children in schools. This matches with George's internal crisis, where he is both a teacher and a person dealing with his own voices.

3. Societal Pressures and Aspirations

George's screenplay vividly depicts Lynn's aspirations in the face of societal pressures. In a pivotal moment, George says, "I wondered if those things had really happened to Lynn, and, if they had, in what proportion." This suggests societal expectations for women, particularly in fields like acting, to excel while simultaneously managing personal life challenges, such as familial responsibilities due to her mother's illness. This struggle is emblematic of broader societal tensions around the pursuit of dreams amidst harsh realities.

4. Artifice versus Authenticity

George's reflections on Lynn and his actions encapsulate the tension between authenticity and artifice. As he prepares for their date, he admits, "I was only acting. Like stepping into the classroom and playing a role for my kids." This reflects the societal expectation that individuals must don different masks in various social situations, and it implies a critical commentary on whether true connections can exist in such a performative

society. Moreover, Lynn's declaration, "You think if you know me, you own me," reveals her awareness of societal pitfalls in relationships, particularly in the context of male-female dynamics where one partner may seek to control or define the other. This speaks to contemporary gender tensions and the struggle for autonomy.

5. Children's Innocence and Adult Cynicism

Finally, the contrast between the children's laughter and the adult struggles illustrates an emotional context of innocence versus disillusionment. George's attempts to inspire his students, despite his own inner turmoil, lead to moments where he finds solace in their simple joys. When the classroom erupts with excitement over their projects, it becomes a stark reminder of lost childhood innocence for George. While observing the children's excitement, his internal narrative, "I was robbed of my childhood," emphasizes how societal pressures can strangle the innocence and joy that children experience, revealing the cyclical nature of emotional struggle across generations.

Through these character interactions, "A Temporary Arrangement" paints a complex portrait of emotional contexts against the backdrop of societal tensions. The layering of personal struggles reflects larger themes of connection, detachment, aspiration, and the inherent conflict in maintaining relationships in an ever-shifting world.

Analysis: On What Ways Do Historical and Cultural Factors Shape the Dialogues between Characters

Analyzing the dialogue between characters in Steve Chang's "A Temporary Arrangement" reveals how historical and cultural factors influence their interactions. The following analysis illustrates the shaping of dialogues.

1. Cultural Expectations in Education

The story takes place in a Koreatown after-school academy, emphasizing cultural values related to success and education.

"Across the red bricks of its facade drooped long banners that listed the honors its students had won, the prestigious universities entered — as if the academy deserved the credit."

This line underscores the societal focus on academic success, suggesting a direct correlation between students' perceived value and their academic achievements. This fact influences the teacher's dialogue with his students, as he strives to motivate them within this cultural framework.

2. Cultural Identity and Relational Dynamics

George, the protagonist, reflects on his relationships with women, particularly Lynn, within their environment's cultural expectations:

“You think if you know me, you own me.”

This line illustrates tensions surrounding personal autonomy and identity within the framework of cultural relationships. Lynn's statement reflects a broader cultural commentary on the pressures women face regarding expectations from men, while also challenging George's perspective on ownership and understanding in relationships.

3. Mental Health Concerns and Understanding

One can trace George's experiences with voices and mental health back to his cultural upbringing.

“So do it then. . . He doesn’t know... I should confess here, in the hopes of being better understood, that when I was a child...”

These lines reveal how George's cultural background influenced his understanding of mental health. The narrative presents a juxtaposition between individual experience and cultural stigmas surrounding mental health, shaping how characters interact with George. His mother's failed attempts to help suggest a lack of understanding of mental health within their culture, complicating his dialogue with others about his struggles.

4. Bicultural Experience in Relationships

The interactions between George and Lynn reflect the complexities of shared cultural experiences and understandings.

“You think you’re full of shit. You didn’t come to LA for acting.”

This confrontational dialogue underlines the challenge of navigating individual aspirations within a cultural landscape. George's attempt to question Lynn's motives and actions reflects tensions within their bicultural identities, where aspirations may clash with social and communal expectations.

5. Dialogue through Aspirations and Reality

Characters frequently express aspirations throughout the story, shaped by their cultural contexts.

“She’d been cast in a production that would be shooting in New York.”

Lynn's dialogue about her aspirations reflects cultural beliefs about success in the performing arts. It intersected with George's desire to stabilize his life, setting the stage for their conflict, where dreams clash with everyday realities shaped by cultural expectations.

6. Cultural Context Influences Childhood and Growth

The stories shared between George and his students emphasize their cultural backgrounds:

“I told them they could write on topics of their own choosing. They broke into groans, and I shouted over them, ‘One day you’ll remember this and thank me!’”

This reflects the traditional educational culture that expects students to adhere to set guidelines. The children's pushback signifies a culturally nuanced understanding of autonomy and resistance, leading to a richer dialogue about the relationship between teacher and student, influenced by their backgrounds.

The dialogues throughout "A Temporary Arrangement" reveal how the characters navigate their cultural identities and societal expectations, shaping their interactions in ways that reflect broader themes of mental health, academic pressure, gender dynamics, and personal aspirations. These factors culminate to create a complex web of understanding and miscommunication that is evident in the various relationships presented in the narrative.

Analysis: Power Dynamics and Identity Challenges Manifest in the Conversations among Characters, And Impact on Personal Relationships

The characters in Steve Chang's "A Temporary Arrangement" manifest the dynamics of power and identity challenges in various conversations, significantly impacting their personal relationships. The following analysis give the details on how these dynamics play out.

1. Teachers VS Students

Power Dynamics:

The relationship between the protagonist (George) and his students is characterized by the power imbalance inherent in a teacher-student dynamic. George approaches teaching with playful creativity, aiming to engage his students.

“I wiped the whiteboard clean and clapped my hands together to signal the conclusion of chitchat. The class sighed. They were good kids. They could accept anything as normal and go on about their days.”

This shows how George maintains authority in the classroom but also seeks to connect with his students, creating a complex power dynamic where he is both a figure of authority and a source of creativity.

Impact on Personal Relationships:

While George strives to engage his students positively, there's a moment of tension with Kevin, who feels frustrated with George's teaching style.

“He asked me what the crap I was talking about.”

This illustrates Kevin's struggle to understand and engage with George's method, highlighting a disconnect that complicates their relationship and invokes a challenge to George's authority as a teacher.

2. George and Lynn

Identity Challenges:

George and Lynn's differing identities and perceptions of their roles in each other's lives strain their relationship. George wishes to be more than just a

temporary fixture in Lynn's life, while Lynn appears to embrace her transient lifestyle.

George says, "I could take care of her. I would work harder and become a real teacher, and she could try, really try, to do acting."

Here, George's desire to create a stable identity as a caretaker clashes with Lynn's perception of her life as being transient and uncertain.

Impact on Personal Relationships:

The divergent identities lead to tension in their relationship, especially as Lynn prepares to leave for New York, highlighting George's feelings of inadequacy.

"You think if you know me, you own me."

Lynn's retort underscores the challenge George faces in terms of identity and belonging. His investment in her leaves him feeling vulnerable, while Lynn's independence creates friction.

3. George and Bryant

Power Dynamics:

Through their differing attitudes toward acting and authenticity, George and his friend Bryant examine their relationship. Bryant's actions and opinions reflect a set of expectations about what it means to navigate relationships and careers in the performing arts.

"That's not acting," he said. "What you're doing isn't real."

This illustrates Bryant's disdain for the superficiality he perceives in George's efforts to win over Lynn, signaling a power struggle rooted in their contrasting views of authenticity and connection.

Impact on Personal Relationships:

The conversation reveals underlying tensions in their friendship, as Bryant's critique places George in a defensive position regarding his choices.

"What do you know about acting?"

This rhetorical question highlights George's refusal to accept Bryant's authority in the matter. Rather than simply taking his friend's advice, George's challenge indicates his struggle for agency in the dynamics of their friendship.

4. George's Inner Voice

Identity Challenges:

Throughout the story, George grapples with his mental health and the voices that plague him. This complicates his ability to interact meaningfully with both Lynn and his students.

"If I'd heard voices in my head, I would've known they weren't real."

- This line showcases George's ongoing internal conflict and his attempts to differentiate reality from his inner turmoil.

Impact on Personal Relationships:

George's struggles affect his relationships, potentially alienating him from his friends and students due to his perceived eccentricities.

"If I didn't react, what was the difference?"

This line reflects George's resignation and inability to fully engage with those around him, suggesting that his psychological challenges hinder his capacity to forge meaningful connections with others.

The power dynamics and identity challenges depicted in "A Temporary Arrangement" have a profound impact on personal relationships throughout the narrative. Whether it's the teacher-student relationship, the romantic tension between George and Lynn, or the friendship among George and Bryant, each character navigates their insecurities and identities, revealing the complexities of human interaction and connection. These examples highlight how individual struggles often ripple through relationships, creating a rich tapestry of emotional conflict and resonance.

Analysis: Dialogue Play in Promoting Understanding and Connecting Personal Narratives to Broader Societal Challenges within the Story

In "A Temporary Arrangement" by Steve Chang, dialogue serves as a vital mechanism for expressing characters' interpersonal dynamics and helping the audience connect personal narratives to broader societal challenges. This technique reveals the characters' motivations, fears, and desires while reflecting larger themes such as identity, isolation, and the quest for connection.

Analysis of Dialogue That Promotes Understanding

1. Revealing Identity and Connection

"In a month or so, she'd be leaving LA."

Through Lynn's dialogue about her upcoming move to New York, the reader understands her ambition and the transient nature of relationships in her life. This highlights the idea that aspirations often come at the expense of personal connections.

2. Exploration of Vulnerability

"You think if you know me, you own me."

Lynn's assertion in this dialogue illustrates the struggle against vulnerability and fear of intimacy, prompting the reader to empathize with her desire for independence. It also speaks to the broader societal challenges of controlling narratives in relationships and the complexities involved in understanding one another.

3. The Burden of Expectations

"What a goodbye... What a party."

Lynn's sarcastic remark following a tough emotional exchange underscores her weariness with the expectations tied to personal relationships. It portrays the societal pressure to have meaningful farewells and connections while juxtaposing her inner turmoil.

4. Miscommunication and Isolation

"I feel funny saying goodbye to you."

This moment captures George's struggle to articulate his emotions, reflecting on the difficulty of expression that leads to isolation. It signifies the disconnect often present in relationships, touching on a broader challenge of communication.

5. Addressing Personal Narratives

"Temporary things are important; temporary things can change your life."

George's passionate assertion speaks to the significance of transient relationships and experiences. It touches upon the broader theme of how seemingly minor interactions can have a profound impact on our lives and growth.

6. Childhood innocence versus adult realities:

"They look so scared."

Lynn expresses concern for children riding a coaster, revealing her deeper introspection and reluctance to engage fully in adult complexities. This captures the disparity between childhood innocence and adult anxieties, reflecting societal responsibilities.

7. Confronting Reality

"Do you think you know people?"

Lynn's challenging question to George forces both him (and the reader) to confront the intricacies of truly knowing someone. This dialogue echoes the story's recurring theme of personal narratives facing the often harsh reality of human relationships.

Through these dialogues, the narrative encourages readers to grapple with understanding the characters' personal struggles, their fears of connection, and the broader societal issues surrounding relationships, aspirations, and identity. The interplay of dialogue and its context in "A Temporary Arrangement" effectively promotes a deeper empathy and reinforces the complexity of human experience.

Analysis: How Bakhtinian Principles Can Enrich Our Understanding of Character Interactions and Sociocultural Implications in Contemporary Literary Works

To analyze how Bakhtinian principles can enrich our understanding of character interactions and sociocultural implications in contemporary literary works, this study focuses on concepts such as dialogism, heteroglossia, and carnivalization. Each concept sheds light on the dynamics among characters in "A Temporary Arrangement" and the broader sociocultural context. The following analysis illustrates these concepts.

Dialogism:

Bakhtin's concept of dialogism emphasizes that meaning is constructed through interactions and dialogues between characters, rather than being fixed. In "A Temporary Arrangement," the protagonist's

interactions with the children and his colleagues exemplify dialogism, reflecting the complexities of teaching and learning.

When the protagonist engages with his students, especially during the exchange about the hawk-like man, the dialogic nature of their interactions is evident:

"Kids," then looked out upon them, faces like a plot of sunflowers. And in that room, I had to become the sun. I turned it on. "You'll never believe what I saw."

This line illustrates how the protagonist's dialogue with the kids helps him navigate his own emotions while also engaging them, emphasizing the reciprocal nature of conversations in building understanding and community.

Heteroglossia:

Heteroglossia refers to the coexistence of diverse voices and perspectives within a text. In this story, various characters bring their unique backgrounds and viewpoints, creating a rich tapestry of interactions that reflect broader societal dynamics.

In the classroom, the varying responses to the protagonist's storytelling illustrate heteroglossia:

"Yeah, right. It was probably a seagull."

"Did he have friends?"

These differing perspectives on the hawk-like man highlight the children's diverse ways of making sense of the world, showcasing their individual identities and experiences.

Carnivalization:

Carnivalization refers to the subversion of authority and norms, allowing for a temporary suspension of social hierarchies and the exploration of alternative realities. The protagonist's relationship with Lynn exemplifies this concept, as intimate and vulnerable moments subvert traditional roles and expectations.

In the scene where the protagonist tries to lighten the mood, he effectively carnivalizes their relationship:

"I'd hoped to disarm her by conveying some understanding of her plight. But she only looked annoyed."

This exchange signifies a disruption of the power dynamics typically found in relationships, signaling a more playful and equal footing between them, even if it leads to tension.

Sociocultural Implications

Through these Bakhtinian principles, we can also draw broader sociocultural implications from the characters' interactions. For instance, the dynamic

between the protagonist and Lynn reflects themes of transient relationships and personal aspirations, resonating with contemporary societal views of love, ambition, and identity in a fluid environment.

When Lynn discusses her impending departure for New York, the protagonist's response manifests his inner conflict:

"I could take care of her. I would work harder and become a real teacher..."

This moment highlights societal expectations of caretaking within romantic relationships and the pressures individuals feel to achieve personal and professional success, reflecting contemporary issues around love, ambition, and belonging.

Overall, applying Bakhtinian ideas to "A Temporary Arrangement" helps us understand how the characters interact with each other better by showing how dialogue, different voices, and breaking the rules show and criticize how society and culture work in modern literature.

Implications

The character dynamics and themes in Steve Chang's "A Temporary Arrangement" reveal the complexity of modern human relationships. Today's society often perceives commitments as fleeting, prompting readers to contemplate their own relationships and societal pressures. George's desire for stability contrasts with Lynn's nomadic lifestyle, highlighting the challenge of balancing personal dreams in a changing society.

Furthermore, the text underscores the connection between isolation and academic stress, thereby igniting crucial discussions on mental well-being in schools. By depicting George's struggles with mental health and his interactions with students, Chang prompts readers to think about how societal expectations affect both teachers and learners. Furthermore, the story explores cultural norms and identity, highlighting the challenges of managing different cultural backgrounds and the effects of race and gender on personal connections.

Conversations, diverse perspectives, and a lively setting deepen our understanding of these relationships, emphasizing how communication shapes significance and individuality. The different viewpoints expressed in conversations reveal societal conflicts that influence personal stories, nurturing empathy as readers witness characters dealing with their struggles. This comprehensive method showcases various perspectives in cultural settings and underscores the value of comprehending individual experiences in broader social contexts.

CONCLUSION

In "A Temporary Arrangement," Steve Chang skillfully develops character interactions that reflect significant societal tensions and emotional landscapes, particularly through the themes of impermanence, isolation, and personal aspirations. By delving into cultural norms, mental health, and power dynamics, the narrative reveals the intricate nature of contemporary relationships, encouraging readers to engage with their own experiences and societal environments.

Ultimately, Chang's work serves as a poignant reminder of the interconnectedness of personal narratives and broader societal trends, inspiring a deeper exploration of human experiences in all their richness. Chang's narrative stands as a powerful testament to the interplay between individual stories and overarching societal currents, inviting readers to engage more profoundly with the human experience.

Corpus Source

Steve Chang, 2023, A Temporary Arrangement, 2023, Guernica Magazine, US

<https://www.guernicamag.com/author/steve-chang/>

<https://www.guernicamag.com/a-temporary-arrangement/>

<http://www.stevehasawebsite.com>

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M., & Gitti Pour, Z. (2012). *International Journal of Political Science*, 2(4).
- Adriana Dias, M. K., & Maria Alba, P. (2012). *Bakhtiniana, São Paulo*, 7(1), 122-137.
- Ahmadi, B. (1991). *Construction and Interpretation of texts*, Markaz Publication, Tehran.
- Bakhtin, M. (1982). *Estetica de la creacion verbal* [Aesthetics of verbal creation]. Siglo. Bakhtin, M. (1984). *Problems in Dostoevsky's poetics* (C. Emerson, Ed. & Trans.). University of Minnesota Press. (Original work published 1929)
- D'Entrevés, & Benhabib. (1997). *Habermas and the Unfinished Project of Modernity*, Yale University.
- Halquist, M. (1990). *Dialogism: Bakhtin and His World*. London: Routledge.
- Hindle, G. A. (2007). "Developing a Systemic Textual Analysis Methodology Based on the 9. *Human Activity System Modelling Language of Soft Systems Methodology (SSM)*." 24(6), 599-612.
- Lin, W., & Saengchan, H. (2023). *International Journal of Society, Culture, & Language*, 12(1), ISSN 2329-2210
- Matusov, E. (2007). Applying Bakhtin Scholarship on Discourse in Education: A Critical Review Essay. *Educational Theory*, 57(2), 215-237. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-5446.2007.00253.x>
- Matusov, E. (2009). *Journey into dialogic pedagogy*. New York: Nova Science Publishers.

- Matusov, E. (2011). *Authorial teaching and learning*. Bakhtinian Pedagogy: Opportunities and Challenges for Research, Policy and Practice in Education across the Globe, 21–46.
- Matusov, E. (2018). Ethic authorial dialogism as a candidate for post-postmodernism. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 50(14), 1478–1479. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2018.1461367>
- Matusov, E., & Miyazaki, K. (2014). Dialogue on Dialogic Pedagogy. *Dialogic Pedagogy: An International Online Journal*, 2(0). <https://doi.org/10.5195/dpj.2014.121>
- Matusov, E., Marjanovic-Shane, A., Kullenberg, T., & Curtis, K. (2019). Dialogic analysis vs. discourse analysis of dialogic pedagogy: Social science research in the era of positivism and post-truth. *Dialogic Pedagogy: An International Online Journal*, 7(0). <https://doi.org/10.5195/dpj.2019.272>
- Matusov, E., Smith, M., Soslau, E., Marjanovic-Shane, A., & von Duyke, K. (2016). Dialogic Education for and from Authorial Agency. *Dialogic Pedagogy*, 4, 10.5195/dpj.2016.172
- McKee, A. (2003). *Textual Analysis: A Beginner's Guide*. SAGE.
- Mercer, N. (1995). *The guided construction of knowledge: Talk amongst teachers and learners*. Clevedon: Multilingual matters.
- Mercer, N. (2000). *Words and minds: How we use language to think together*. London: Routledge.
- Mercer, N., Wegerif, R. & Dawes, L. (1999). From social interaction to individual reasoning: an empirical investigation of a possible socio-cultural model of cognitive development. *Learning and Instruction*, 9(6), 493–516.
- Morson, G., & Emerson, C. (1990). *Mikhail Bakhtin*. Creation of a Prosaics. Stanford: Stanford University Press
- Mortimer, E., & Scott, P. (2003). *Meaning Making in Secondary Science Classrooms*. Maidenhead, Philadelphia: Open University Press.
- Nielsen, G. M. (2002). *The Norms of Answerability: Social Theory between Bakhtin and Habermas*, State University of New York Press.
- Nystrand, M. (2006). Research on the role of classroom discourse as it affects reading comprehension. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 392–412.
- Nystrand, M. (Ed.). (1997). *Opening dialogue: understanding the dynamics of language and learning in the English classroom*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Nystrand, M., & Gamoran, A. (1991). Student Engagement: When Recitation Becomes Conversation. In H. C. Waxman & H. J. Walberg (Eds.), *Effective teaching: Current research* (pp. 257–276). Berkeley: McCutchan. Retrieved from <http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED323581>
- Pechey, G. (2007). *Mikhail Bakhtin: The Word in the World*, Routledge.
- Reznitskaya, A. (2012). Dialogic Teaching: Rethinking Language Use during Literature Discussions. *The Reading Teacher*, 65(7), 446–456. <https://doi.org/10.1002/TRTR.01066>
- Reznitskaya, A., & Wilkinson, I. A. (2017). *The Most Reasonable Answer: Helping Students Build Better Arguments Together*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard Education Press.
- Sher, H. (2020). JETIR, SSN-2349-5162, 7(9).
- Skaftun, A. (2009). *Litteraturens nytteverdi [The unility of Literature]*, Bergen: Fagbokforlaget
- Skaftun, A. (2010). Dialogisk Diskursanalyse, Literaturvienskapelig methods og skolepraksis [Dialogicdiscourse analysis: A methodology for literary research and school practice. *Tidskrift for Literaturvetenskap*, 40(3).
- Smith, J. "Textual Analysis." *The International Encyclopaedia of Communication*.
- Tezotan, T. (1998). *Conversational Logic*, Markaz Publication, Tehran.
- Wells, C. G. (1999). *Dialogic inquiry: towards a sociocultural practice and theory of education*, New York: Cambridge University Press.