OPEN ACCESS

Middle East Research Journal of Engineering and Technology
ISSN: 2789-7737 (Print) ISSN: 2958-2059 (Online)
Frequency: Bi-Monthly
DOI: https://doi.org/10.36348/merjet.2025.v05i02.001

KUWAIT SCHOLARS PUBLISHER
Website: http://www.kspublisher.com/
Email: office@kspublisher.com

Achieving Project Management Excellence through Lean Six Sigma

Attia Hussien Gomaa[1*]
[1]Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Shubra, Benha University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract: In today's rapidly evolving industrial and technological landscape, achieving project management excellence is essential for organizations striving for efficiency, agility, and sustained competitiveness. As projects grow in complexity, the demand for higher quality, proactive risk management, and optimal resource utilization increases, necessitating a structured, data-driven approach for strategic alignment and execution. This study explores the role of Lean Six Sigma (LSS) in project management, integrating Lean's waste reduction with Six Sigma's precision to enhance performance, resource optimization, and governance. The proposed LSS framework aligns with project management best practices, enabling organizations to streamline execution, reduce variability, and drive continuous improvement across diverse project environments. It incorporates Core LSS Principles to foster efficiency and a culture of continuous improvement, the DMAIC Framework for structured problem-solving and sustainable enhancements, and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to measure efficiency, quality, and project success. By bridging traditional project management methodologies with advanced analytical capabilities, this framework offers a structured yet adaptable approach that enhances decision-making, operational efficiency, and value creation. Its seamless integration with Agile, hybrid project management models, and digital transformation initiatives ensures responsiveness to evolving business challenges and technological advancements. Ultimately, this LSS-driven approach redefines project management excellence, equipping organizations with the methodologies and insights needed to achieve long-term success, maintain a competitive edge, and drive sustainable growth in an increasingly complex and dynamic environment.

Keywords: Project Management, Excellence, Lean Six Sigma, DMAIC Framework, Efficiency Optimization, Continuous Improvement.

Research Paper

***Corresponding Author:**
Attia Hussien Gomaa
Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Shubra, Benha University, Cairo, Egypt

How to cite this paper:
Attia Hussien Gomaa (2025). Achieving Project Management Excellence through Lean Six Sigma. *Middle East Res J. Eng. Technol*, *5*(2): 18-32.

Article History:
| Submit: 23.02.2025 |
| Accepted: 25.03.2025 |
| Published: 02.04.2025 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Achieving project management excellence is essential for organizations navigating today's dynamic industrial and technological landscapes. Rapid digital transformation, evolving market demands, and intensifying competition necessitate efficient, agile, and high-quality project execution strategies that also ensure effective risk mitigation. Traditional project management methodologies, however, often struggle to balance flexibility, process optimization, and data-driven decision-making, highlighting the need for a more structured and adaptable approach focused on continuous improvement.

Lean Six Sigma (LSS)—a methodology that integrates Lean's waste-reduction principles with Six Sigma's defect-minimization precision—offers a robust framework for enhancing project efficiency, reducing variability, and strengthening operational resilience. By systematically identifying inefficiencies, eliminating waste, and improving process stability, LSS optimizes workflow execution, enhances resource utilization, and ensures project reliability. Its data-driven foundation supports contemporary project management paradigms, including Agile, hybrid models, and digital project management systems, enabling organizations to adapt proactively to evolving challenges while maintaining structured governance and process discipline. As outlined in Table 1, various project management methodologies vary in their objectives, strategies, and adaptability. Traditional approaches, such as Waterfall and Gantt charts, prioritize structured planning, scope control, and milestone tracking, but lack the flexibility needed in dynamic environments. Lean project management focuses on process efficiency and continuous improvement, leveraging tools like Kaizen, Just-in-Time (JIT), and Value Stream Mapping (VSM). Six Sigma applies statistical techniques to minimize defects and enhance process stability but can be resource-intensive. Lean Six Sigma (LSS) integrates Lean's agility with Six Sigma's precision, offering a structured, data-driven approach that improves decision-making, enhances continuous improvement, and mitigates risks—making it particularly well-suited for complex, high-risk projects, such as shutdowns. As shown in Table 2, each project management approach has its strengths and challenges. Traditional project management provides structured control but lacks flexibility to manage variability. Lean offers adaptability and efficiency but may not adequately control defects. Six Sigma improves quality and process stability but is often resource-heavy. LSS strikes a balance by combining efficiency, quality control, and risk mitigation, making it ideal for high-risk projects. However, its success depends on skilled professionals and a culture of continuous improvement. Table 3 summarizes key LSS tools that improve project execution by enhancing efficiency, reducing waste, and ensuring quality. Strategic tools like the Project Charter define clear objectives, while the Voice of the Customer (VoC) and the Kano Model ensure projects are customer-focused. Lean tools such as Gemba Walk, 5S, and the 8 Wastes of Lean optimize workflows and eliminate inefficiencies. Process improvement tools like Kaizen, VSM, and Just-in-Time (JIT) enhance operational flow, while Kanban improves task visibility. Quality tools such as Poka-Yoke, Root Cause Analysis (RCA), and Bottleneck Analysis prevent defects. Monitoring techniques like Takt Time, Andon, and Visual Management enable real-time issue tracking. Reliability tools such as Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) and Heijunka ensure asset performance, while risk management and decision-making tools like FMEA, Pareto Analysis, and the Fishbone Diagram support predictive analysis and process optimization.

This paper introduces a Lean Six Sigma (LSS) framework to enhance project management excellence by streamlining processes, minimizing variability, and strengthening governance while ensuring scalability and adaptability. The proposed framework integrates a suite of powerful methodologies, including DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control), Value Stream Mapping, Statistical Process Control, and predictive analytics, to optimize project planning, execution, and real-time performance monitoring. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents a comprehensive literature review, Section 3 analyzes key research gaps, Section 4 outlines the research methodology and introduces the proposed Lean Six Sigma (LSS) framework, and Section 5 concludes with strategic insights and recommendations for future research and industry applications.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Project Management Methodologies

#	Aspect	Traditional PM	Lean PM	Six Sigma PM	Lean Six Sigma PM
1	Primary Objective	Deliver on scope, time, and cost	Minimize waste, maximize value	Reduce defects, enhance quality	Optimize efficiency and quality
2	Core Philosophy	Control, predictability	Continuous improvement	Data-driven problem-solving	Synergy of continuous improvement & analytics
3	Change Management	Avoid change, follow fixed plans	Embrace change for efficiency	Adjust based on variation analysis	Data-driven adaptability and flexibility
4	Approach	Sequential (Waterfall, Gantt)	Lean tools (Kaizen, JIT, VSM)	DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control)	Hybrid: Lean + DMAIC process
5	Customer Involvement	Periodic feedback at milestones	Continuous process optimization	Customer-driven defect reduction	Ongoing feedback and process alignment
6	Team Structure	Hierarchical, function-based	Cross-functional, decentralized	Specialized Six Sigma roles	Cross-functional, data-driven teams
7	Documentation	Extensive, rigid	Minimal, visual	Structured for statistical analysis	Balanced: essential documentation with visual efficiency
8	Key Tools	WBS, Network Diagrams, Gantt Charts	VSM, Kanban, Kaizen, JIT	Statistical Analysis, Control Charts, FMEA	Integrated Lean & Six Sigma tools
9	Best Fit	Stable, well-defined projects	Process efficiency	Quality-focused process improvement	Complex projects requiring efficiency & quality
10	Risk Management	Upfront risk mitigation	Risk reduction via efficiency	Risk control via variation analysis	Integrated risk prevention & mitigation
11	Project Timeline	Fixed milestones, rigid deadlines	Flexible, iterative cycles	Data-driven process optimization	Adaptive planning with performance monitoring
12	Communication Style	Formal, structured	Visual, real-time	Structured, data-driven	Integrated: real-time data sharing & visualization
13	Collaboration	Siloed, limited	High within teams	Defined within Six	High cross-functional

		cross-team		Sigma roles	collaboration
14	Scalability	Best for small to medium projects	Scalable for process optimization	Scalable for data-driven quality improvement	Scalable across industries for efficiency & quality
15	Resource Utilization	Fixed allocation, rigid roles	Optimized through efficiency	Optimized through defect reduction	Maximized resource use & performance improvement

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of Project Management Approaches

#	Approach	Strengths	Challenges	Best Use Cases	Success Enablers
1	Traditional PM	Structured, clear scope & timelines	Rigid, slow to adapt to change	Stable, predictable projects	Detailed planning, milestone tracking
2	Lean PM	Flexible, minimizes waste, efficient	Lacks structured defect control	Dynamic, efficiency-focused projects	Lean tools (Kaizen, JIT, VSM), continuous improvement
3	Six Sigma PM	Data-driven, reduces defects, stable	Resource-intensive, slow adaptation	High-precision, quality-focused projects	DMAIC methodology, expert roles (Green/Black Belts)
4	Lean Six Sigma PM	Combines agility & precision, balanced	Complex implementation, expertise required	High-risk, efficiency & quality-driven projects	Lean-Six Sigma synergy, real-time analytics

Table 3: Key Lean Six Sigma (LSS) Tools in Project Management

#	LSS Tool	Category	Description	Objective
1	Project Charter	Project Management	Defines project scope, objectives, and stakeholders.	Ensures strategic alignment and project clarity.
2	Voice of the Customer (VoC)	Customer Focus	Captures and prioritizes customer needs.	Aligns project outcomes with customer expectations.
3	Kano Model	Customer Focus	Classifies customer needs based on satisfaction impact.	Enhances customer-driven decision-making.
4	Gemba Walk	Lean Leadership	Observe workflows directly to identify inefficiencies.	Drives improvement through real-time engagement.
5	5S Methodology	Workplace Organization	Organizes and standardizes the work environment.	Enhances efficiency, safety, and waste reduction.
6	Standardized Work	Process Management	Establishes best practices for consistency.	Reduces variability and ensures quality.
7	8 Wastes of Lean	Waste Reduction	Identifies and eliminates inefficiencies.	Maximizes value by reducing waste.
8	Kaizen	Continuous Improvement	Promotes ongoing, incremental improvements.	Cultivates a culture of continuous optimization.
9	Value Stream Mapping (VSM)	Process Optimization	Visualizes workflows to identify bottlenecks.	Streamlines processes and improves efficiency.
10	Just-In-Time (JIT)	Inventory Management	Produces only as needed to minimize waste.	Improves efficiency and responsiveness to demand.
11	Kanban	Workflow Management	Uses visual task tracking to manage workflow.	Enhances transparency and prioritization.
12	Poka-Yoke	Error Proofing	Implements fail-safes to prevent defects.	Ensures reliability and process stability.
13	Root Cause Analysis (RCA)	Problem-Solving	Identifies and eliminates underlying issues.	Provides sustainable solutions to recurring problems.
14	Fishbone Diagram (Ishikawa)	Root Cause Analysis	Categorizes potential causes of a problem.	Enables structured problem-solving.
15	Bottleneck Analysis	Process Flow	Identifies and mitigates process constraints.	Improves workflow efficiency and capacity utilization.
16	Takt Time	Production Efficiency	Balances production pace with customer demand.	Ensures smooth operations and prevents bottlenecks.
17	Andon System	Visual Management	Provides real-time alerts for process issues.	Enables immediate response and corrective action.

18	Total Productive Maintenance (TPM)	Asset Reliability	Implements proactive maintenance strategies.	Maximizes uptime and operational efficiency.
19	Hoshin Kanri	Strategy Deployment	Aligns daily operations with strategic goals.	Ensures focused execution of long-term objectives.
20	Heijunka	Production Stability	Levels workloads to prevent production fluctuations.	Maintains steady output and optimizes flow.
21	QA/QC (Quality Assurance & Control)	Quality Management	Establishes rigorous quality control measures.	Ensures product consistency and defect prevention.
22	Statistical Process Control (SPC)	Data Analysis	Monitors process variations using statistical tools.	Maintains process stability and quality.
23	Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA)	Risk Management	Identifies and mitigates potential failure points.	Prevents defects and enhances reliability.
24	Control Charts	Process Monitoring	Tracks process variations over time.	Enables early detection and corrective action.
25	Pareto Analysis	Problem Prioritization	Focuses on the most significant problems.	Maximizes impact by addressing critical issues first.
26	SIPOC (Suppliers, Inputs, Process, Outputs, Customers)	Process Mapping	Defines process scope and key stakeholders.	Provides a high-level view of process interactions.
27	Process Capability Analysis	Performance Assessment	Assesses process capability relative to specifications.	Ensures consistency and process efficiency.
28	Design of Experiments (DOE)	Experimental Design	Tests multiple factors to optimize processes.	Identifies optimal process conditions for improvement.
29	Taguchi Methods	Robust Design	Reduces process variation through statistical techniques.	Enhances quality and process stability.

2.1 Literature Review of LSS Project Management

The evolution of Lean Six Sigma (LSS) in project management has progressed from a structured, efficiency-driven methodology to a more flexible and expansive approach. Over the past decade, LSS applications have extended beyond manufacturing into service sectors, digital transformation, and sustainability-focused industries. This progression can be divided into three key phases: framework enhancement (2016–2020), sector expansion (2022–2023), and Industry 4.0 integration (2024–2025). Tables 4 and 5 provide a summary of key research studies from 2016 to 2025, outlining the advancements, challenges, and emerging trends within these phases.

Between 2016 and 2020, research focused on refining LSS methodologies to improve stakeholder engagement and address key failure factors. Sunder (2016) introduced stakeholder-driven models to enhance project buy-in, while Sreedharan & Sunder (2018) developed SDMMAICS, a flexible alternative to DMAIC, bridging its rigidity. Antony *et al*., (2020) and Lizarelli *et al*., (2020) identified leadership, workforce engagement, and governance deficiencies as critical barriers to LSS success, underscoring the need for more adaptable frameworks. However, LSS adoption remained concentrated in manufacturing and banking, limiting its application to dynamic service industries.

The period 2022–2023 saw LSS expand into education, construction, and sustainability. Antony *et al*., (2022) successfully implemented Lean Thinking in education, eliminating inefficiencies to enhance operational performance. Sakr & Nassar (2022) adapted LSS for construction, demonstrating its potential to optimize workflows while requiring contextual adjustments. Swarnakar *et al*., (2023) introduced a Best-Worst Method (BWM)-based Sustainable LSS (SLSS) model, aligning LSS with sustainability objectives, while Zanezi *et al*., (2023) highlighted gaps in risk management and hybrid integration. Challenges at this stage included inadequate scheduling models, weak risk mitigation strategies, and the need for stronger stakeholder alignment across sectors.

The 2024–2025 period marks a pivotal shift, with LSS deeply integrated into Industry 4.0, transforming it into an AI-driven, predictive decision-making framework. Amjad *et al*., (2024) showcased AI-enhanced LSS applications in engineering, demonstrating efficiency gains through predictive maintenance and automated defect detection. Kumar *et al*., (2024) identified key success factors for LSS in I4.0, emphasizing leadership, digital culture, and AI-driven analytics. Gomaa (2025a, 2025b) introduced Lean 4.0 and LSS 4.0, integrating AI, IoT, blockchain, and digital twins to shift process control from reactive to predictive. Meanwhile, Jason *et al*., (2025) and Rodrigues & Alves (2025) explored Lean Thinking in IT, validating its benefits in software development while identifying scalability challenges.

Despite these advancements, scaling Lean 4.0 and LSS 4.0 remains a challenge. Organizations must address AI-human collaboration gaps, cybersecurity risks, and digital transformation barriers to fully leverage AI-driven process optimization. As LSS 4.0 aligns with Industry 5.0 principles, the focus will shift toward human-centric automation, ethical AI, and resilience engineering.

As shown in Table 6, LSS has extended beyond manufacturing into healthcare, banking, construction, education, and IT, enhancing efficiency, defect reduction, and resource optimization. Innovations such as AI, IoT, digital twins, and big data analytics have transformed LSS into a predictive, real-time optimization framework, improving decision-making and operational agility. The integration of hybrid methodologies—combining Agile, Lean, and Six Sigma—has further increased adaptability in complex project environments. However, challenges persist, including high implementation costs, organizational resistance, and cybersecurity risks. Research gaps remain in AI-driven decision-making, real-time defect prevention, sustainability applications, and risk management within LSS frameworks. Future advancements will focus on intelligent, self-learning LSS systems, expanding applications into cybersecurity, autonomous manufacturing, smart cities, and sustainable infrastructure to drive industrial and digital transformation.

The future of LSS Project Management lies in AI-driven, self-optimizing ecosystems that enhance resilience, agility, and real-time decision-making. Advancing Lean 4.0 and LSS 4.0 will require quantum computing, AI-powered heuristics, and cybersecurity frameworks to strengthen IoT-driven Lean applications. Redefining human-AI collaboration will be crucial for improving operational efficiency, predictive analytics, and sustainable innovation. By embracing these advancements, organizations can achieve unprecedented process optimization, foster adaptive learning systems, and maintain a competitive edge in an increasingly digital and interconnected world.

Table 4: Summary of Key Studies on LSS Project Management

#	Study	Focus	Methodology	Key Findings
1	Sunder (2016)	LSS implementation in banking	Literature review and expert interviews	Developed a stakeholder model to enhance LSS success.
2	Sreedharan & Sunder (2018)	Challenges in DMAIC execution	Literature review	Introduced a framework to address DMAIC in manufacturing.
3	Antony *et al.*, (2020)	Factors contributing to LSS project failures	Survey of industry experts	Identified leadership, governance, and communication gaps as major failure factors.
4	Lizarelli *et al.*, (2020)	Comparative efficiency of Lean vs. Six Sigma	Case study	Found no major efficiency differences but emphasized resource allocation challenges in Lean.
5	Krishnan *et al.*, (2020)	LSS in tool manufacturing	Hybrid methodologies	Improved sigma level and reduced cycle time.
6	Antony *et al.*, (2022)	LSS application in education	Case study	Minimizing non-value-added activities and improving efficiency.
7	Sakr & Nassar (2022)	LSS adaptation in construction	Surveys and case studies	Demonstrated that LSS enhances efficiency but requires customization for construction environments.
8	Swarnakar *et al.*, (2023)	Sustainable LSS project selection	Best-Worst Method (BWM)	Established optimal SLSS project selection criteria for manufacturing industries.
9	Zanezi *et al.*, (2023)	Key principles of LSS success	Systematic literature review	Identified stakeholder engagement and strategic alignment as primary success drivers.
10	Amjad *et al.*, (2024)	LSS with big data in engineering projects	DMAIC and big data analytics	Achieved defect reduction, cost savings, and efficiency improvements.
11	Kumar *et al.*, (2024)	LSS in Industry 4.0 (I4.0)	critical success factors analysis	Highlighted leadership, culture, and workforce adaptation as critical success factors in I4.0.
12	Jason *et al.*, (2025)	Lean Thinking (LT) in IT project management	Qualitative study and expert interviews	Found that Lean improves IT project efficiency.
13	Rodrigues & Alves (2025)	Lean Thinking in IT	Structured interviews	Confirmed that LT reduces waste and improves IT project efficiency.
14	Gomaa (2025a)	Lean 4.0 in Smart Manufacturing	Conceptual framework and strategic roadmap	Emphasized the role of AI, IoT, and automation in predictive maintenance and operational agility.

15	Gomaa (2025b)	Lean Six Sigma 4.0 (LSS 4.0)	I4.0 integration with DMAIC	Demonstrated how AI, IoT, and blockchain transform LSS from reactive to predictive process control.

Table 5: Evolution of Lean Six Sigma (LSS) Project Management

Period	Research Focus	Key Contributions	Challenges & Limitations
2016–2020	Strengthening Lean Six Sigma (LSS) frameworks	- Developed stakeholder engagement models to drive LSS adoption (Sunder, 2016). - Introduced SDMMAICS, an advanced extension of DMAIC, addressing flexibility gaps (Sreedharan & Sunder, 2018). - Identified key failure factors: leadership deficits, incentive misalignment, and workforce disengagement (Antony *et al*., 2020; Lizarelli *et al*., 2020).	- Industry adoption constraints: LSS remained confined to manufacturing and banking. - Methodological rigidity: Traditional DMAIC struggled to adapt across dynamic industries.
2022–2023	Expanding Lean applications across new sectors (education, construction, sustainability)	- Integrated Lean methodologies in education, reducing non-value-added activities and improving process efficiency (Antony *et al*., 2022). - Customized LSS applications for construction, enhancing workflow efficiency (Sakr & Nassar, 2022). - Developed a Best-Worst Method (BWM)-based Sustainable LSS (SLSS) project selection framework, aligning LSS with sustainability goals (Swarnakar *et al*., 2023). - Identified gaps in risk management, hybrid methodologies, and stakeholder alignment (Zanezi *et al*., 2023).	- Risk management deficiencies: Existing LSS models lacked integrated risk assessment mechanisms. - Sector-specific complexity: Traditional LSS frameworks required substantial customization for new industries.
2024–2025	Integrating Lean with Industry 4.0 (I4.0) and advanced digital transformation	- Implemented AI-enhanced LSS methodologies in engineering, optimizing process efficiencies and predictive maintenance (Amjad *et al*., 2024). - Identified critical success factors (CSFs) for LSS in Industry 4.0, emphasizing leadership, workforce adaptability, and cultural transformation (Kumar *et al*., 2024). - Introduced Lean 4.0 and LSS 4.0, integrating AI, IoT, blockchain, digital twins, and predictive analytics to enhance operational intelligence (Gomaa, 2025a, 2025b). - Explored Lean Thinking in IT, confirming efficiency gains but revealing gaps in adaptability and full-scale implementation (Jason *et al*., 2025; Rodrigues & Alves, 2025).	- Scalability challenges: Expanding Lean 4.0 and LSS 4.0 across diverse industries remains a technical and organizational challenge. - Cybersecurity & AI integration: LSS 4.0 adoption requires robust digital security frameworks, AI-human collaboration strategies, and workforce reskilling.

Table 6: Evolution, Innovations, and Future Directions of LSS in Project Management

Aspect	Details
Applications & Case Studies	LSS has been applied across manufacturing, healthcare, banking, construction, education, and IT, enhancing efficiency, reducing defects, and optimizing resources. AI-driven LSS improves predictive maintenance in engineering, while Lean Thinking streamlines IT project workflows. In construction, LSS adaptations enhance scheduling and cost control.
Recent Innovations	The integration of AI, IoT, digital twins, and big data has transformed LSS into a predictive, data-driven system. Hybrid models combining Agile, Lean, and Six Sigma are emerging, especially in dynamic industries, enabling real-time process optimization and autonomous quality control.

Challenges	Scaling LSS 4.0 faces resistance to change, high implementation costs, and digital transformation complexities. Ensuring AI-human collaboration, addressing cybersecurity risks, and adapting LSS for non-manufacturing sectors remain key challenges.
Research Gaps	Unexplored areas include AI-driven decision-making, real-time analytics for defect prevention, and LSS's role in sustainability and circular economy models. More research is needed on integrating risk management and stakeholder engagement within LSS frameworks.
Future Directions	The future of LSS lies in intelligent, self-learning systems powered by AI, predictive analytics, and digital twins. Expansion into cybersecurity, autonomous manufacturing, smart cities, and sustainable infrastructure will drive the next phase of industrial and digital transformation.

3. RESEARCH GAP ANALYSIS

While Lean Six Sigma (LSS) has seen increasing adoption in project management, several key research gaps remain that need to be addressed to further enhance its effectiveness and applicability across industries. These gaps must be filled to ensure LSS's continued relevance and value in modern project management practices. Table 7 outlines the primary research gaps and provides future directions for LSS development. The identified gaps are as follows:

1) **Integration of LSS with Emerging Technologies:** Although LSS has been successfully applied in traditional environments, there is limited research on its integration with emerging technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and automation. Future research should explore how these technologies can be incorporated into LSS to enable real-time decision-making, predictive analytics, and enhanced process optimization, particularly in data-intensive project environments.
2) **Aligning LSS with Agile and Hybrid Models:** LSS has been traditionally associated with structured project management approaches. However, with the increasing adoption of Agile and hybrid methodologies, which emphasize flexibility and rapid adaptation, research is needed to understand how LSS can be aligned with these frameworks. Specifically, how tools like DMAIC can be tailored to fit iterative and adaptive project cycles while maintaining process discipline should be explored.
3) **Expanding LSS Beyond Manufacturing:** LSS has seen widespread application in manufacturing, but its potential in service industries, digital transformation, and sustainability-focused sectors remains underexplored. Research should focus on adapting LSS for service industries, especially in areas like customer experience and service quality, and in sustainability-driven projects, helping organizations reduce environmental impact while improving efficiency.
4) **LSS in High-Risk, Complex Projects:** LSS has demonstrated efficiency benefits, but its application in high-risk and complex projects—such as those in construction, aerospace, and energy—requires further investigation. These projects often involve multiple stakeholders, regulatory constraints, and heightened uncertainties. Research should focus on how LSS can manage these complexities, enhance stakeholder collaboration, and mitigate risks across different project stages.
5) **Sustainability Integration in LSS:** While LSS emphasizes waste reduction, its role in promoting sustainability within project management has not been fully explored. Future studies should investigate how LSS can contribute to eco-friendly practices by optimizing resource usage, reducing carbon footprints, and aligning with sustainability goals, particularly in industries like renewable energy, green construction, and product lifecycle management.
6) **Cultural and Organizational Barriers to LSS Adoption:** The successful adoption of LSS depends heavily on organizational culture, leadership support, and employee engagement. However, there is a lack of research on the barriers to LSS adoption across various cultures and industries. Research should examine how organizational readiness, leadership styles, and cultural differences impact LSS implementation and success, providing strategies to overcome these barriers.
7) **Long-Term Impact and ROI of LSS:** While the immediate benefits of LSS—such as increased efficiency and waste reduction—are well-documented, the long-term sustainability of these benefits and their impact on organizational performance remain unclear. Longitudinal studies should investigate the long-term effects of LSS on cost savings, customer satisfaction, and operational reliability, providing a more comprehensive view of its return on investment (ROI).
8) **Global and Cross-Cultural Application of LSS:** As organizations continue to globalize, understanding how LSS can be adapted to various cultural and regulatory contexts becomes increasingly important. Research should explore how LSS can be customized for different regions, taking into account diverse organizational cultures, local regulations, and project requirements. Cross-cultural studies will help to identify the challenges and opportunities of implementing LSS in global projects.

Addressing these research gaps will enable LSS to evolve and become a more versatile and impactful methodology in project management. By integrating emerging technologies, aligning with Agile and hybrid models, and extending its application across various sectors, LSS can continue to drive improvements in efficiency, quality, and sustainability for organizations worldwide.

Table 7: Research Gap Analysis

#	Research Gap Area	Existing Challenges	Identified Gaps	Future Research Directions
1	Emerging Technologies Integration	Limited exploration of LSS with AI, IoT, big data, and automation.	Lack of research on LSS integration with emerging technologies for enhanced decision-making.	Investigate how AI, IoT, and big data can improve LSS for real-time analytics and process optimization.
2	LSS and Agile/Hybrid Models	Traditional LSS is rigid, clashing with Agile's flexibility.	Insufficient studies on combining LSS with Agile and hybrid models.	Explore the synergy between LSS, Agile, and hybrid models for adaptive project management.
3	LSS Beyond Manufacturing	LSS is primarily used in manufacturing, with minimal focus on services or sustainability.	Few studies on LSS in service and sustainability sectors.	Research LSS applications in non-manufacturing industries, including services and sustainability.
4	High-Risk, Complex Projects	Limited use of LSS in complex, high-risk sectors like aerospace and construction.	Insufficient exploration of LSS in high-risk, multi-stakeholder projects.	Study LSS applications in managing high-risk industries with complex requirements and stakeholder collaboration.
5	Sustainability Integration	LSS focuses on efficiency but lacks sustainability integration.	Lack of focus on environmental sustainability within the LSS framework.	Investigate methods to integrate sustainability into LSS for eco-friendly project management.
6	Cultural and Organizational Barriers	Organizational culture and leadership issues hinder LSS adoption.	Limited research on cultural and organizational barriers to LSS implementation.	Examine cultural and leadership factors affecting LSS adoption in diverse settings.
7	Long-Term Impact and ROI	Short-term benefits of LSS are well-documented, but long-term ROI remains unclear.	Few studies on the long-term impact and ROI of LSS practices.	Conduct long-term studies on LSS benefits, including cost reductions and sustained performance improvements.
8	Global and Cross-Cultural Application	Global adoption of LSS faces cultural and regulatory challenges.	Lack of research on LSS effectiveness across different countries and cultures.	Investigate how LSS can be adapted for global, cross-cultural environments and its regional impact.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY FOR LEAN SIX SIGMA IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT EXCELLENCE

Achieving project management excellence through Lean Six Sigma (LSS) requires a structured, data-driven methodology that integrates emerging technologies, advanced analytics, and industry best practices. This approach goes beyond process optimization, ensuring scalability, adaptability, and resilience in complex and dynamic project environments. The proposed methodology follows a systematic framework that aligns LSS principles with project management best practices to enhance efficiency, quality, and strategic alignment. It consists of interconnected phases that leverage advanced tools and data-driven insights to optimize project execution across various complexities and risk levels.

The key components of this methodology include:

1) Core Principles of Lean Six Sigma in Project Management Excellence – Defining fundamental LSS concepts that drive efficiency, eliminate waste, and foster continuous improvement in project environments.
2) DMAIC Framework in Project Management Excellence – Applying the Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control (DMAIC) methodology to enhance project performance, reduce risks, and drive sustainable improvements.
3) Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for Lean Six Sigma in Project Management – Establishing measurable success metrics to assess efficiency, quality, and overall project performance.

This structured approach ensures the seamless integration of Lean Six Sigma into project management, fostering a culture of continuous improvement, data-driven decision-making, and long-term organizational success.

4.1. Core Principles of Lean Six Sigma in Project Management Excellence

Lean Six Sigma (LSS) has evolved into a comprehensive framework that combines the efficiency-driven principles of Lean with the precision-focused methodologies of Six Sigma. As shown in Table 8, By integrating these approaches, LSS delivers transformative results in project management, ensuring optimal resource allocation, risk mitigation, and continuous improvement. In the rapidly changing landscape of modern project management, the advanced core principles of LSS offer a strategic advantage by emphasizing not just process optimization, but also innovation, adaptability, and alignment with broader organizational goals. The following advanced principles drive Lean Six Sigma's success in ensuring project management excellence:

1) **Customer-Centric Value Creation:** LSS focuses on creating value that directly aligns with customer needs, preferences, and expectations. This customer-centric approach extends beyond delivering on time and within budget; it involves deep insights into customer experiences, sustainability, and long-term value. Tools like Voice of the Customer (VoC) and the Kano Model ensure that customer feedback is systematically incorporated into project design and delivery.
2) **Advanced Data-Driven Insights and Predictive Analytics:** Data-driven decision-making is elevated with the use of advanced analytics and real-time data integration. In addition to standard statistical tools, LSS incorporates predictive analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence to forecast potential risks, optimize schedules, and predict project performance. The ability to leverage real-time data and AI-driven insights allows project managers to make proactive decisions and dynamically adjust project plans.
3) **Continuous Evolution through Dynamic Kaizen:** Beyond traditional Kaizen, Lean Six Sigma embraces a dynamic, real-time approach to continuous improvement. Instead of isolated improvement efforts, LSS integrates iterative optimization loops through digital platforms and automated feedback systems. Projects are continuously monitored and refined, with teams implementing micro-improvements that accumulate into significant long-term value.
4) **Comprehensive Waste Elimination:** Lean's focus on waste elimination evolves beyond traditional categorization (e.g., overproduction, waiting, defects) to incorporate digital and process-specific waste such as unnecessary data processing, inefficiencies in digital workflows, and redundant tasks in cross-functional communication. Lean Six Sigma creates a project ecosystem where not only physical and operational waste is minimized but also digital, cognitive, and informational waste is systematically reduced through automation and smart technology integration.
5) **Advanced Variability Reduction:** Six Sigma's focus on reducing variation is amplified with the use of real-time analytics and machine learning models that adapt to complex project environments. Rather than focusing solely on minimizing defects, the advanced application of Six Sigma aims to enhance consistency across evolving project phases, incorporating variance-adjusting methodologies such as predictive modeling, dynamic simulation, and continuous feedback loops.
6) **Integrated Stakeholder-Centric Project Management:** Stakeholder engagement is extended to a holistic, integrated model where stakeholder interests are continuously aligned throughout the project lifecycle. Leveraging AI-driven analytics, project managers can map and dynamically update stakeholder expectations in real time, addressing evolving concerns and optimizing collaboration across a diverse set of stakeholders (clients, teams, suppliers, regulatory bodies, etc.).
7) **Global Standardization and Smart Process Control:** LSS introduces global standardization at a digital scale, where process standardization tools such as control charts, SPC, and Lean techniques are integrated into project management software platforms. These systems allow for seamless monitoring and adjustment of processes, even in multi-national or geographically dispersed projects, ensuring global consistency in performance and quality while adapting to local needs and regulations.
8) **Holistic Employee Engagement and Empowerment:** Employee involvement moves beyond mere participation; it now incorporates an empowered, knowledge-sharing culture through digital collaboration platforms. By leveraging AI and machine learning, employees are equipped with data insights that allow them to contribute to process optimization in real time, driving collaborative innovation and fostering a culture of agility and responsiveness in project teams.
9) **Advanced Root Cause and Predictive Failure Analysis:** Root cause analysis evolves with the integration of predictive modeling and deep data analysis, allowing project teams to identify potential issues before they manifest. Techniques such as machine learning-powered failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) and predictive analytics for risk management enable project managers to anticipate challenges and mitigate them proactively rather than reactively.
10) **Agile Integration for Dynamic Project Execution:** Lean Six Sigma integrates seamlessly with Agile and hybrid project management methodologies, providing the flexibility required for fast-paced, high-uncertainty projects while ensuring that process optimization, quality control, and risk management remain central. The framework allows for iterative progress, with regular refinement of project objectives based on real-time data, stakeholder feedback, and emerging market trends,

ensuring that both structured governance and flexibility are maintained.

These advanced core principles reflect a modernized approach to Lean Six Sigma, one that embraces digital transformation, predictive analytics, and a holistic, cross-disciplinary framework. By incorporating these principles into project management, organizations not only drive efficiency and quality but also position themselves to thrive in a rapidly evolving business environment. The future of project management lies in the continuous integration of these advanced principles, enabling organizations to deliver outstanding results, reduce risks, and continuously adapt to emerging challenges.

Table 8: Core principles of Lean Six Sigma in project management excellence

#	Core Principle	Description	Key Focus Areas
1	Customer-Centric Approach	Aligns project goals with customer needs to drive satisfaction and continuous improvement.	Customer satisfaction, quality
2	Data-Driven Decisions	Uses data and statistical analysis to guide decisions and optimize outcomes.	Analytics, predictive modeling
3	Continuous Improvement	Promotes ongoing process optimization to enhance efficiency and eliminate waste.	Kaizen, process optimization
4	Waste Reduction	Eliminates non-value-adding activities to boost efficiency and reduce costs.	Lean principles, Value Stream Mapping
5	Standardization	Ensures consistent, predictable project execution for higher quality and efficiency.	SOPs, control charts
6	Root Cause Analysis	Identifies and addresses underlying causes of issues to prevent recurrence.	DMAIC, Fishbone Diagram, 5 Whys
7	Collaboration & Teamwork	Encourages cross-functional collaboration to improve problem-solving and innovation.	Teamwork, knowledge sharing
8	Risk Management	Identifies and mitigates risks to ensure project success and reduce disruptions.	Risk assessment, FMEA
9	Sustainability Focus	Integrates sustainable practices to ensure long-term project success and environmental responsibility.	Resource optimization, sustainability
10	Leadership & Culture	Strong leadership fosters a culture of quality and continuous improvement.	Leadership development, culture

4.2. DMAIC Framework in Project Management Excellence

The DMAIC framework (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control) provides a systematic, data-driven approach that enhances project management excellence. By leveraging DMAIC, project managers can optimize processes, reduce waste, and improve overall project quality. Table 9 outlines the LSS DMAIC framework for Project Management Excellence. Each phase plays a critical role in optimizing project execution, aligning stakeholder expectations, and driving continuous improvement.

1) **Define Phase:** Establishing Clear Goals and Scope: The Define phase lays the foundation for project success by setting clear objectives, defining scope, and ensuring stakeholder alignment. It establishes a structured roadmap that aligns with business strategy, minimizing ambiguity and scope creep. Key activities include developing a Project Charter, identifying Critical-to-Quality (CTQ) factors, and conducting SIPOC (Suppliers, Inputs, Process, Outputs, Customers) analysis to outline workflows. A well-defined project scope ensures alignment, efficiency, and a shared vision among all stakeholders.
2) **Measure Phase:** Establishing Performance Baselines: The Measure phase focuses on data collection and analysis to establish baseline performance metrics. This phase ensures that project decisions are guided by objective insights rather than assumptions. Key activities include defining Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), applying Value Stream Mapping (VSM) to identify inefficiencies, and conducting Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) to assess potential risks. By leveraging data-driven insights, organizations can pinpoint performance gaps and create a foundation for targeted improvements.
3) **Analyze Phase:** Identifying Root Causes of Inefficiencies: The Analyze phase uncovers the root causes of project inefficiencies, risks, and delays. By using structured problem-solving techniques such as Root Cause Analysis (RCA), 5 Whys, and Fishbone Diagrams, organizations can systematically diagnose process flaws. Pareto Analysis prioritizes high-impact issues, while Regression Analysis and Hypothesis Testing assess process variability. Addressing inefficiencies at their source ensures informed decision-making and strengthens project risk management.
4) **Improve Phase**: Implementing Targeted Solutions: The Improve phase focuses on designing and implementing solutions that enhance efficiency and quality. Lean Six Sigma tools such as 5S (Sort, Set

in Order, Shine, Standardize, Sustain), Kanban, and Just-in-Time (JIT) streamline workflows and eliminate waste. Pilot testing and simulations validate the effectiveness of improvements before full deployment. This phase enhances productivity, minimizes risks, and increases project agility by introducing data-driven, validated improvements.

5) **Control Phase:** Sustaining Long-Term Success: The Control phase ensures that improvements are maintained and continuously optimized. Organizations establish Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), implement Control Charts, and develop real-time monitoring dashboards to track progress. Regular audits, training programs, and feedback mechanisms reinforce a culture of continuous improvement. By embedding governance and monitoring systems, this phase safeguards project stability and ensures long-term success.

In conclusion, the DMAIC framework provides a structured, scalable approach to achieving efficiency, quality, and risk mitigation in project management. By integrating data-driven decision-making with continuous process improvement, organizations can optimize resource allocation, enhance agility, and sustain long-term project excellence. Adaptable across industries, DMAIC serves as a powerful methodology for organizations seeking to drive innovation, improve operational efficiency, and achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

Table 9: DMAIC Framework in Project Management Excellence

#	Phase	Objective	Key Activities	Project Benefits
1	Define	Establish project goals, scope, and stakeholder alignment	- Develop a Project Charter outlining objectives, deliverables, and constraints - Identify Critical-to-Quality (CTQ) factors based on stakeholder needs - Conduct SIPOC analysis to define process inputs, outputs, and dependencies	- Clear project direction - Alignment with business objectives - Minimized scope creep
2	Measure	Assess current performance and set benchmarks	- Define Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to track success - Apply Value Stream Mapping (VSM) to identify inefficiencies - Conduct Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) to evaluate risks	- Data-driven decision-making - Early identification of bottlenecks - Improved risk management
3	Analyze	Identify root causes of inefficiencies and risks	- Perform Root Cause Analysis (RCA) using 5 Whys and Fishbone Diagrams - Use Pareto Analysis to prioritize critical issues - Conduct Regression Analysis & Hypothesis Testing to quantify process variations	- Eliminates root causes of inefficiencies - Strengthens risk mitigation - Optimizes resource utilization
4	Improve	Implement targeted solutions to enhance efficiency	- Apply Lean tools (5S, Kanban, JIT) to optimize workflows - Pilot test and validate improvements before full implementation - Integrate digital solutions for automation and real-time monitoring	- Increased efficiency and quality - Reduced delays and costs - Enhanced agility and responsiveness
5	Control	Sustain improvements and ensure long-term success	- Develop Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for process standardization - Implement Control Charts & Dashboards for continuous performance tracking - Conduct regular audits, training, and feedback sessions	- Ensures long-term sustainability - Prevents process deviations - Fosters continuous improvement culture

4.3. KPIs of Lean Six Sigma in Project Management Excellence

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are crucial for measuring the effectiveness of Lean Six Sigma (LSS) in achieving project management excellence. These KPIs focus on process improvement, waste reduction, quality enhancement, and overall project performance. As shown in Table 10, the following KPIs are critical for the successful implementation of LSS in project management:

1) **Project Cycle Time:** This KPI tracks the time from project initiation to completion. Its purpose is to identify inefficiencies and delays, ensuring swift project delivery. Reducing cycle time through Lean Six Sigma helps eliminate bottlenecks and wasteful processes, significantly improving efficiency.
2) **Cost Savings:** This measures the reduction in project costs due to process efficiency improvements, waste reduction, and resource optimization. By monitoring cost savings, organizations can assess the financial impact of

Lean Six Sigma initiatives and justify continued investment in process improvements.

3) **Defect Rate:** The defect rate measures errors or defects during the project or in its final output, typically expressed as defects per unit or as a percentage. Lean Six Sigma aims to minimize defects, and a lower defect rate signifies improved quality and process efficiency.
4) **Customer Satisfaction (CSAT):** This KPI assesses customer or stakeholder satisfaction with project deliverables, typically gathered through surveys or feedback. Ensuring customer satisfaction is key to project success, and this metric highlights areas for further improvement.
5) **Process Efficiency:** This measures how efficiently project processes perform compared to ideal or expected standards. By tracking process efficiency, Lean Six Sigma helps identify inefficiencies and supports continuous process optimization.
6) **Resource Utilization Rate:** This KPI tracks how effectively project resources (human, financial, and material) are used to achieve objectives. Efficient resource utilization reduces waste and ensures optimal allocation, maximizing project outcomes.
7) **Risk Mitigation:** This measures the effectiveness of identifying and managing project risks such as delays, cost overruns, and resource shortages. Proactively addressing risks minimizes negative impacts, ensuring that projects stay on track within scope, time, and budget.
8) **First Pass Yield (FPY):** FPY measures the percentage of deliverables or processes completed correctly the first time, without needing rework. A high FPY reflects smooth project execution with minimal waste and rework, aligning with Lean Six Sigma principles.
9) **On-time Delivery Rate:** This KPI tracks the percentage of project milestones and deliverables completed on schedule. Timely delivery is vital for customer satisfaction, and this KPI ensures projects meet deadlines and schedules.
10) **Lean Waste Reduction:** This measures the amount of waste eliminated from the project, including time, materials, energy, and unnecessary steps. Waste reduction is a core element of Lean Six Sigma, helping improve efficiency, lower costs, and enhance quality.
11) **Employee Engagement:** This tracks the level of employee involvement in Lean Six Sigma initiatives and continuous process improvement. Engaged employees are more likely to contribute to project success, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and shared ownership.
12) **Sustainability Impact:** This KPI measures the environmental and social impact of the project, including factors like carbon footprint, resource usage, and waste generation. As sustainability becomes increasingly important, this KPI ensures Lean Six Sigma projects contribute positively to environmental and social outcomes.

These KPIs serve as critical benchmarks for measuring the success of Lean Six Sigma in project management. By regularly monitoring and analyzing these metrics, organizations can achieve continuous improvements, optimize processes, reduce waste, and enhance quality and efficiency throughout the project lifecycle. Aligning these KPIs with organizational goals ensures that Lean Six Sigma methodologies contribute to long-term success.

Table 10: KPIs of Lean Six Sigma in Project Management Excellence

#	Metric Category	Objective	KPIs
1	Project Efficiency	Reduce cycle time and eliminate inefficiencies	- Project Cycle Time
2	Financial Performance	Measure cost reduction and financial savings	- Cost Savings
3	Quality Control	Enhance quality and minimize defects	- Defect Rate
4	Customer Satisfaction	Ensure stakeholder satisfaction with deliverables	- Customer Satisfaction (CSAT)
5	Process Optimization	Maximize resource utilization and process efficiency	- Process Efficiency
6	Resource Utilization	Optimize allocation and usage of project resources	- Resource Utilization Rate
7	Risk Management	Mitigate project risks and ensure on-time delivery	- Risk Mitigation
8	Quality Assurance	Achieve high first-time success and minimize rework	- First Pass Yield (FPY)
9	Timeliness	Ensure timely completion of milestones and project deliverables	- On-time Delivery Rate
10	Waste Reduction	Minimize waste in time, materials, and processes	- Lean Waste Reduction
11	Employee Engagement	Promote employee involvement in continuous improvement	- Employee Engagement
12	Sustainability	Minimize environmental and social impact	- Sustainability Impact

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study underscores the strategic value of Lean Six Sigma (LSS) in achieving project management excellence by enhancing efficiency, agility, and risk mitigation. By combining Lean's waste reduction with Six Sigma's precision, the proposed LSS framework optimizes project performance, resource utilization, and governance. It follows a structured, data-driven approach, aligning LSS principles with project management best practices to improve execution across varying complexities and risk levels. Key components include Core LSS Principles for driving efficiency and continuous improvement, the DMAIC Framework (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control) for optimizing performance and ensuring sustainable improvements, and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for measuring efficiency, quality, and overall project success. This integrated approach enables seamless LSS adoption, fostering continuous improvement, data-driven decision-making, and long-term organizational success in dynamic project environments.

Future research will explore Project Management 4.0, incorporating AI, IoT, robotics, and blockchain to enhance automation, predictive analytics, and intelligent decision-making. Advancing AI-driven Lean Six Sigma, process automation, and digital twins will further drive efficiency and continuous improvement. Additionally, evaluating the framework's scalability across industries will refine it into a high-performance, resilient, and adaptable model for modern project management.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abadi, A., Abadi, C. and Abadi, M., 2025. Intelligent Decision Making and Knowledge Management System for Agile Project Management in Industry 4.0 context. Statistics, Optimization & Information Computing.
- AbuKhamis, F. and Abdelhadi, A., 2022. A critical analysis of agile and lean methodology to fulfill the project management gaps in nonprofit organizations (NPOs). Applied Sciences, 12(11), p.5467.
- Agile Manifesto. (2020, March 16). Manifesto for Agile Software Development. https://agilemanifesto.org/
- Albuquerque, F., Torres, A.S. and Berssaneti, F.T., 2020. Lean product development and agile project management in the construction industry. *Revista de Gestão*, *27*(2), pp.135-151.
- Amjad, M.H.H., Shovon, M.S.S. and Hasan, A.M., 2024. Analyzing Lean Six Sigma Practices In Engineering Project Management: A Comparative Analysis. Innovatech Engineering Journal, 1(01), pp.245-255.
- Antony, J., Lizarelli, F.L. and Fernandes, M.M., 2020. A global study into the reasons for lean six sigma project failures: key findings and directions for further research. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 69(5), pp.2399-2414.
- Antony, J., Scheumann, T., Sunder M, V., Cudney, E., Rodgers, B. and Grigg, N.P., 2022. Using Six Sigma DMAIC for Lean project management in education: a case study in a German kindergarten. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 33(13-14), pp.1489-1509.
- Arefazar, Y., Nazari, A., Hafezi, M.R. and Maghool, S.A.H., 2022. Prioritizing agile project management strategies as a change management tool in construction projects. International Journal of Construction Management, 22(4), pp.678-689.
- Azanha, A., Argoud, A.R.T.T., Camargo Junior, J.B.D. and Antoniolli, P.D., 2017. Agile project management with Scrum: A case study of a Brazilian pharmaceutical company IT project. International journal of managing projects in business, 10(1), pp.121-142.
- Badran, S.S. and Abdallah, A.B., 2024. Lean vs agile project management in construction: impacts on project performance outcomes. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management.
- Balaban, S. and Đurašković, J., 2021. Agile project management as an answer to changing environment. European Project Management Journal, 11(1), pp.12-19.
- Balashova, E.S. and Gromova, E.A., 2017. Agile project management in telecommunications industry. Revista Espacios, 38(41).
- Chathuranga, S., Jayasinghe, S., Antucheviciene, J., Wickramarachchi, R., Udayanga, N. and Weerakkody, W.S., 2023. Practices driving the adoption of agile project management methodologies in the design stage of building construction projects. *Buildings*, *13*(4), p.1079.
- Choudhury, I., 2019. Agile methods for engineering. In Management for Scientists (pp. 187-206). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Conforto, E.C. and Amaral, D.C., 2016. Agile project management and stage-gate model—A hybrid framework for technology-based companies. Journal of engineering and technology management, 40, pp.1-14.
- Cooper, R.G. and Sommer, A.F., 2018. Agile–Stage-Gate for Manufacturers: Changing the Way New Products Are Developed Integrating Agile project management methods into a Stage-Gate system offers both opportunities and challenges. Research-Technology Management, 61(2), pp.17-26.
- Cruz, A. and Alves, A.C., 2020. Traditional, agile and lean project management-A systematic literature review. The Journal of Modern Project Management, 8(2).
- de Oliveira Santos, P. and de Carvalho, M.M., 2020. Lean and agile project management: An overview of the literature exploring complementarities. The Journal of Modern Project Management, 8(2).
- Gomaa, A.H., 2025a. Lean 4.0: A Strategic Roadmap for Operational Excellence and Innovation in Smart Manufacturing. International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering (IJESE), 13(4), pp. 1-14.

- Gomaa, A.H., 2025b. LSS 4.0: A Conceptual Framework for Integrating Lean Six Sigma and Industry 4.0 for Smart Manufacturing Excellence", Indian Journal of Management and Language (IJML) , 5(1), pp. 8-29.
- Hassan, N.E. and Khodeir, L., 2019. Impact of agile management on the optimization of change in construction projects: a literature review. In *Second Int. Conf. Sustain. Constr. Proj. Manag. ICSCPM 2018* (pp. 1-15).
- Iqbal, J., Omar, M. and Yasin, A., 2021. The effects of agile methodologies on software project management in Pakistani software companies. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 12(3), pp.1717-1727.
- Ito, J.Y., Silveira, F.F. and Akkari, A.C.S., 2021, October. Lean-agile education: a bibliometric analysis. In Brazilian Technology Symposium (pp. 378-385). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Jason, A., Wedmore, J., Okunola, A. and Stacey, T., 2025. The Future of Lean Six Sigma: Innovations and Trends Impacting Engineering Project Management.
- Jethva, S.S. and Skibniewski, M.J., 2022. Agile project management for design-build construction projects: a case study. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Eng*, *19*(1), pp.1-11.
- Kashikar, A., Mehta, D., Motichandani, B. and Dasika, D., 2016. A case study on agile and lean project management in construction industry. IOSR J. Mech. Civ. Eng, 13(4), pp.31-39.
- Krishnan, S., Mathiyazhagan, K. and Sreedharan, V.R., 2020. Developing a hybrid approach for lean six sigma project management: A case application in the reamer manufacturing industry. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 69(6), pp.2897-2914.
- Kumar, P., Bhamu, J., Goel, S. and Singh, D., 2024. Interpretive structural modeling of lean six sigma critical success factors in perspective of industry 4.0 for Indian manufacturing industries. International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management, 15(8), pp.3776-3793.
- Lalmi, A., Fernandes, G. and Boudemagh, S.S., 2022. Synergy between Traditional, Agile and Lean management approaches in construction projects: bibliometric analysis. Procedia Computer Science, 196, pp.732-739.
- Langholf, V. and Wilkens, U., 2021. Agile project management, new leadership roles and dynamic capabilities–insight from a case study analysis. Journal of Competences, Strategy & Management, 11, pp.1-18.
- Lei, H., Ganjeizadeh, F., Jayachandran, P.K. and Ozcan, P., 2017. A statistical analysis of the effects of Scrum and Kanban on software development projects. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 43, pp.59-67.
- Lizarelli, F.L. and Alliprandini, D.H., 2020. Comparative analysis of Lean and Six Sigma improvement projects: performance, changes, investment, time and complexity. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 31(3-4), pp.407-428.
- Madampe, M.A.K.G., 2017. Successful adoption of agile project management in software development industry. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology Research, 5(4), p.2327.
- Malla, V., 2024. Structuration of lean-agile integrated factors for construction projects. Construction Innovation, 24(4), pp.986-1004.
- Mnqonywa, S., von Solms, S. and Marnewick, A., 2018. A systematic literature review of the agile methodology applied during construction project design. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management* (Vol. 2018, No. NOV, pp. 1430-1442).
- Mostafa, S., Sanchez, M., Ass, J.D. and Hadjinicolaou, N., 2020. Lean and agile project management concepts in the project management profession. Project Management Research & Practice, 6.
- Nurdiani, I., Börstler, J. and Fricker, S.A., 2016. The impacts of agile and lean practices on project constraints: A tertiary study. Journal of Systems and Software, 119, pp.162-183.
- Project Management Institute., PMI. 2017. A Guide to the Project Management Body of knowledge - PMBOK Guide (6th edition). Pennsylvania: Project Management Institute.
- Rodriguês, I. and Alves, W., 2025. A proposed conceptual model for linking Lean thinking and project management in the IT sector. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma.
- Sadeghi, S., Akbarpour, A. and Abbasianjahromi, H., 2022. Provide a lean and agile strategy for an antifragile sustainable supply chain in the construction industry (residential complex). *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain*, *5*, p.100079.
- Sakr, T.A. and Nassar, A.H., 2022. Improving Project Management at the Design Phase by Applying Lean Six Sigma as a Troubleshooting System. IEEE Engineering Management Review, 50(3), pp.213-227.
- Salama, T. and Said, H., 2025. Agility assessment framework for modular and offsite construction. *Construction Innovation*, *25*(2), pp.193-223.
- Santoso, J.T., Raharjo, B. and Wibowo, M.C., 2025. Agile Project Management Practice to Support Project Management Success. Quality-Access to Success, 26(4).
- Scholz, J.A., Sieckmann, F. and Kohl, H., 2020. Implementation with agile project management

approaches: Case Study of an Industrie 4.0 Learning Factory in China. Procedia Manufacturing, 45, pp.234-239.

- Sharma, M., Luthra, S., Joshi, S. and Joshi, H., 2022. Challenges to agile project management during COVID-19 pandemic: an emerging economy perspective. Operations Management Research, 15(1), pp.461-474.
- Sreedharan V, R. and Sunder M, V., 2018. A novel approach to lean six sigma project management: a conceptual framework and empirical application. Production Planning & Control, 29(11), pp.895-907.
- Stettina, C.J. and Hörz, J., 2015. Agile portfolio management: An empirical perspective on the practice in use. International Journal of Project Management, 33(1), pp.140-152.
- Sunder M, V., 2016. Lean six sigma project management–a stakeholder management perspective. The TQM Journal, 28(1), pp.132-150.
- Swarnakar, V., Singh, A.R., Antony, J., Tiwari, A.K. and Garza-Reyes, J.A., 2023. Sustainable Lean Six Sigma project selection in manufacturing environments using best-worst method. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 34(7-8), pp.990-1014.
- Tripp, J.F., Riemenschneider, C. and Thatcher, J.B., 2016. Job satisfaction in agile development teams: Agile development as work redesign. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 17(4), p.1.
- Vaz-Serra, P., Hui, F. and Aye, L., 2021. Construction project managers graduate agile competencies required to meet industry needs. In ICSECM 2019: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction Management (pp. 601-607). Springer Singapore.
- Vilca, Y.H. and León, J.B., 2024. Agile Frameworks in Construction Project Management: A Systematic Review. Proceedings of the 10th World Congress on New Technologies (NewTech'24).Barcelona, Spain, pp. 1-8.
- Younus, A.M. and Younis, H., 2021. Conceptual Framework of Agile Project Management. Affecting Project Performance, Key: Requirements and Challenges. International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management (IJIREM), 8(4), pp. 10-14.
- Zadeh, E.K., Khoulenjani, A.B. and Safaei, M., 2024. Integrating AI for agile Project Management: Innovations, challenges, and benefits. International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Construction Management (IJIECM), 1(1), pp.1-10.
- Zanezi, A.C. and de Carvalho, M.M., 2023. How project management principles affect Lean Six Sigma program and projects: a systematic literature review. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 20(1), pp.1564-1564.